UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILÍNG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,315	01/05/2005	Michel Paul Barbara Van Bruggen	NL 020662	2574
	7590 02/05/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001	l	GROUP, KARL E		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/05/2007		02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/520,315	VAN BRUGGEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karl E. Group	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute that the period for reply will, by statute that the period for reply will, by statute that the period for reply will be statuted by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e. cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	anuary 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority					
application from the International Burea		en received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ','	ot received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20070130			

Application/Control Number: 10/520,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1-16-07 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-14 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 1 and 11 it appears the "inclusive" should be before the ZrO₂. Also the claims (including 3) should be rewritten to clarify what is inclusive. Also it is requested to delete the "characterized in that" and substitute terminology such as "having", "wherein" or "comprising". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 6,8,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6 and 14, line 2, "the process" and "the moulded body" lack antecedent basis.

Claim 8, "the finely grained ZrO₂ dopant" lacks antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,315

Art Unit: 1755

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 6. Claims 1-9,11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al (6,417,127). This rejection has been changed to a 103 rejection only. The 102 rejection has been withdrawn because none of the examples of Yamamoto fall within the clamed range.
- 7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al (6,417,127) further in view of Janney (5,028,362), for reasons of record.

It is agreed that none of the examples in Yamamoto et al that include zirconia fall within the range set forth in the instant claims. However Yamamoto et al teach examples below and above that range of the instant claims, therefor one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the same results would be achieved at compositions between the examples. Furthermore, Yamamoto et al teach a range of .02-2 molar percent zirconia is operational, column 4, lines 35-41. Also a reference may be used for all it realistically teaches and is not limited to the disclosure in the specific examples, In re Van Mater 144 USPQ 421 (1965)

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E. Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

Art Unit: 1755

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Karl E Group Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1755

Keg 1-30-07